

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,854	12/19/2001	Mahesh Sambasivam	42390P13267	9103
8791	7590 12/03/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
	WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR ANGELES, CA 90025		NGUYEN, KHIEM D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Um			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/033,854	SAMBASIVAM ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Khiem D Nguyen	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	September 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
د، 🗀	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 3 5 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in combination with Hundt et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,5 90,462) and Cha et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,242,798).

Applicant's admitted prior art discloses in figures 12-18 and related text of the specification a method of fabricating a microelectric package comprising providing a substrate (figure 12, 204) having a first surface (figure 12, 214), and opposing second surface, and a plurality of lands (figure 12, 212) disposed on the first surface; providing a microelectronic die (figure 12, 202) having an active surface (figure 12, 208), a back surface, and a plurality of pads (figure 12, 206) disposed on the active surface in a corresponding relationship to the plurality of substrate lands; electrically attaching the plurality of substrate lands to the plurality of corresponding microelectronic die pads with a plurality of conductive bumps (figure 12, 216); disposing an underfill material (figure 13, 222) such that the underfill material is dispersed between the microelectronic die active surface and the substrate first surface wherein the underfill material is dispensed by a needle (figure 13, 230) and the underfill material comprises an epoxy material (page 3, line 6) and is cured (page 3, line 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/033,854

Art Unit: 2823

AAPA also discloses providing a second micorelectronic die (figure 17, 242) having an active surface (figure 17, 256), a back surface (figure 17, 244) and at least one wirebond pad (figure 17, 254) disposed on the active surface, attaching the second microelectronic die back surface to the microelectronic die back surface (figure 17) and attaching at least one wirebond (figure 17, 252) between the at least one substrate wirebond land (figure 17, 258) and the second microelectronic wirebond pad, wherein attaching the second microelectronic die back surface to the microelectronic die back surface comprises disposing a layer of adhesive therebetween (page 4, line 5).

AAPA fails to disclose forming a through hole extending from the substrate first surface to the substrate second surface and disposing the underfill material through the through hole.

Hundt et al discloses in figures 1-2 and related text a method of forming a through hole (figure 2, 24) extending from the substrate first surface to the substrate second surface and disposing the underfill material (figure 2, 18) through the through hole. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hundt with the method of AAPA in order to more firmly bond the substrate and the microelectronic die (Abstract).

Neither AAPA nor Hundt disclose positioning the microelectronic die and the substrate such that the microelectronic die is gravitationally below the substrate.

However, Cha et al discloses that epoxy can be applied from the top down through a through hole instead of injected upward (figure 5B and col. 4, lines 5-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine the teachings

Application/Control Number: 10/033,854

Art Unit: 2823

\*

of Cha with the combined method AAPA and Hundt in order to provide a reduced processing time and decreased solder fatigue (col. 2, lines 30-40).

### Response to Amendment

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-10-2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Hundt ('462) fails to teach disposing an underfill material through the through-hole such that the underfill material is dispersed between the microelectronic die active surface and the substrate first surface. Applicant also stated that the hundt patent teaches dispersing a thermally conductive adhesive (not an underfill material) between a substrate and a quad flat pack-type of a microelectronic device through a through hole.

Examiner disagreed. By definition, the thermally conductive adhesive material as taught by Hundt is an underfill material because the applicant does not specifically disclose what type of material the underfill material comprises in independent claims 1, 7, and 13.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/033,854

Art Unit: 2823

Page 5

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-

0210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chaudhuri Olik can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9179

for regular communications and (703) 746-9179 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

K.N.

November 21, 2002

Olik Charidhuri

Oll Charle

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800